Finite-size scaling of synchronized oscillation on complex networks

Hyunsuk Hong,¹ Hyunggyu Park,² and Lei-Han Tang³ ¹Department of Physics and RINPAC, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561-756, Korea

²School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea

³Department of Physics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong SAR, China

(Received 8 October 2007; published 14 December 2007)

The onset of synchronization in a system of random frequency oscillators coupled through a random network is investigated. Using a mean-field approximation, we characterize sample-to-sample fluctuations for networks of finite size, and derive the corresponding scaling properties in the critical region. For scale-free networks with the degree distribution $P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$ at large k, we found that the finite-size exponent $\overline{\nu}$ takes on the value 5/2 when $\gamma > 5$, the same as in the globally coupled Kuramoto model. For highly heterogeneous networks (3) $<\gamma<5$), $\bar{\nu}$ and the order parameter exponent β depend on γ . The analytical expressions for these exponents obtained from the mean-field theory are shown to be in excellent agreement with data from extensive numerical simulations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.76.066104

PACS number(s): 89.75.Hc, 05.70.Jk, 05.45.Xt

I. INTRODUCTION

The popularity of complex networks in the description of interactions among individuals in various biological and social contexts has inspired theoretical studies of ordering phenomena on networks in recent years [1]. The small-world properties of such networks, as emphasized first by Watts and Strogatz [2], suggest that a simple mean-field (MF) description of the ordering transition is often appropriate [1,2]. More complicated situations may arise as in, e.g., scale-free networks with a low degree exponent, where heterogeneity in the network topology smears out the transition significantly [3-8]. In general, randomness in network connections, which can be considered as a form of quenched disorder, can have a profound effect on the ordering process and the ensuing scaling behavior. This is a topic in network research that has not been sufficiently explored so far.

Synchronization of coupled oscillators is a representative dynamical problem on complex networks [1]. By varying the coupling strength J among the oscillators, various dynamical phenomena can be observed, ranging from independent oscillators at J=0 to a fully synchronized state at $J>J_f$. The desynchronization threshold J_f is an important property in many applications, and its dependence on the network topology has been investigated in great detail [9]. For coupled oscillators with a distribution of intrinsic frequencies 10–13, a finite fraction of the population can remain entrained in frequency even in the presence of a large number of runaway oscillators. The entrained cluster of oscillators disappears only at a (much) lower coupling strength J_c . Near the entrainment threshold J_c , strong fluctuations in various static and dynamic properties of the system are expected, as in the usual critical phenomena.

The entrainment transition on scale-free networks with a degree distribution $P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$ has been treated analytically by several groups [14-16]. It has been shown that, in the infinite-size limit, J_c takes a finite value for $\gamma > 3$, but vanishes when $\gamma \leq 3$. The critical exponent β that describes the vanishing behavior of the order parameter on the supercritical side is shown to be equal to 1/2 for $\gamma > 5$ and $1/(\gamma - 3)$ for $3 < \gamma < 5$ [15].

For systems of finite size, the entrainment transition becomes blurred and rounded over a range of the coupling strength. In addition, randomness in the network topology, as well as the random choice of oscillator frequencies, introduces sample-to-sample variations in the entrainment threshold. A full description of the finite-size effects, which requires a detailed characterization of dynamic fluctuations in specific samples, is currently not available [17,18]. Fortunately, in the case of the globally coupled Kuramoto model, where the mean-field theory works well on the supercritical side, the sample-to-sample fluctuations of the order parameter can be characterized analytically [19]. Comparison with numerical simulations indicates that temporal fluctuations of the order parameter play only a subdominant role in the broadening of the transition region due to finite size [19,20]. The success of this approach suggests a novel procedure to derive finite-size scaling (FSS) relations under a MF approximation.

In the present paper, we extend the above MF treatment to coupled random frequency oscillators on complex networks. Unlike the globally coupled case, the MF equations derived here are not expected to be exact due to the finite connectivity of individual vertices on the network. Nevertheless, as we show below, the FSS exponents obtained depend only on certain general properties of the network. We also present results from extensive simulations on uncorrelated scale-free networks. The numerically determined values of the exponents as a function of the degree exponent γ of the network agree well with the analytical predictions. Our study indicates that the FSS at the entrainment transition on uncorrelated scale-free networks is also governed by fluctuations in the distribution of intrinsic oscillator frequencies, whereas temporal fluctuations of the order parameter play only a subdominant role.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the dynamical model and derive the mean-field equations. Section III contains a treatment of the mean-field equations in the neighborhood of the entrainment transition. A finitesize scaling form for the order parameter is obtained. Results from numerical simulations of the model are presented in Sec. IV and are compared with the analytical predictions. Section V contains a brief summary of our results.

II. THE MODEL AND MEAN-FIELD EQUATIONS

A. The model

An undirected network of *N* vertices is defined by the adjacency matrix $\{a_{j\ell}\}$, where $a_{j\ell}=1$ if two vertices *j* and ℓ are connected and 0 otherwise. The degree of a vertex *j* is the number of vertices connected to *j*, denoted by $k_j=\Sigma_{\ell}a_{j\ell}$. With each vertex *j* we associate an oscillator whose dynamics is described by the equation of motion for the phase ϕ_i ,

$$\dot{\phi}_j = \omega_j - J \sum_{\ell=1}^N a_{j\ell} \sin(\phi_j - \phi_\ell), \qquad (1)$$

where ω_j is the intrinsic frequency of the oscillator. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) denotes coupling to neighboring oscillators on the network with a positive strength (J>0).

In this paper, the oscillator frequencies ω_j in a given sample are drawn independently from a distribution $g(\omega)$ which is assumed to be a smooth function and symmetric about its maximum at $\omega=0$. In addition, we shall limit ourselves to random networks with no degree-degree correlation among neighboring vertices. An algorithm that generates such a network with no self-linking nor multiple links between vertices is discussed in Ref. [21]. The numerical results presented in Sec. IV are for scale-free networks generated using the static model of Ref. [22].

B. The mean-field approximation

At sufficiently strong coupling, the system described by Eq. (1) exhibits a synchronization phenomenon where a finite fraction of oscillators in the system become entrained in frequency. A transition to the random state at a critical coupling strength J_c has been considered at the mean-field level by several authors [14–16]. To understand the general idea behind such an approach, let us first introduce a set of instantaneous local fields defined by

$$H_j e^{i\theta_j} \equiv \sum_{\ell} a_{j\ell} e^{i\phi_{\ell}},\tag{2}$$

where $H_j \ge 0$ denotes the amplitude and θ_j the phase. Equation (1) can now be written in a more suggestive form,

$$\dot{\phi}_i = \omega_i - H_i J \sin(\phi_i - \theta_i). \tag{3}$$

As usual, the mean-field approximation decouples the set of N dynamical equations by replacing H_j and θ_j with suitable time-averaged quantities which are then determined in a self-consistent manner. Unlike the globally coupled Kuramoto model, such a mean-field treatment is not exact due to the finite connectivity of individual oscillators on the network, so dynamic fluctuations are not averaged out even for an infinite system. However, provided the network is sufficiently well connected (not fragmented into local "communities"), and because of its small-world properties, once a

cluster of entrained oscillators is formed, it will affect the dynamics of all oscillators in the system through a *global* "ordering field" $H \exp(i\theta)$. The precise effect of the global field on individual oscillators is subject to renormalization by the local community of a given oscillator. For a well-connected network, it is reasonable to expect that renormalization of the oscillator's response function does not change qualitatively the results of the mean-field theory where such effects are ignored. This is to be confirmed by numerical investigations.

With the above caveat, let us proceed to the derivation of the mean-field equations, with particular emphasis on the finite-size effect. The key approximation we introduce is to replace each phase factor $e^{i\phi_{\ell}}$ in the sum in Eq. (2) by the global ordering field $H \exp(i\theta)$ acting through the edge connecting vertices j and ℓ . Equation (3) now becomes

$$\dot{\phi}_i = \omega_i - k_i H J \sin(\phi_i - \theta). \tag{4}$$

Consider a steady-state situation with a constant H and a linearly advancing $\theta = \Omega t$, where Ω is the phase velocity of the entrained cluster. From Eq. (4), one can find that the oscillator at vertex j is entrained with

$$\phi_j = \theta + \sin^{-1}[(\omega_j - \Omega)/(k_j H J)]$$
(5)

if $|\omega_j - \Omega| \le k_j H J$, and detrained otherwise. In the latter case, the time-averaged value of $e^{i(\phi_j - \theta)}$ is given by

$$\overline{e^{i(\phi_j - \theta)}} = i \left(\frac{\omega_j - \Omega}{k_j H J} \right) \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{k_j H J}{\omega_j - \Omega} \right)^2} \right].$$
(6)

Here and elsewhere the overbar denotes the time average.

The self-consistent equations for *H* and Ω are obtained by setting *H* equal to the average of $e^{i(\phi_j - \theta)}$ over all edges of the network. Since each vertex *j* contributes k_j times to the average, we may write

$$H = \frac{\sum_{j} k_{j} \overline{e^{i(\phi_{j} - \theta)}}}{\sum_{j} k_{j}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{k_{j}}{\langle k \rangle} \overline{e^{i(\phi_{j} - \theta)}}.$$
 (7)

Here $\langle k \rangle$ is the average degree of a vertex in the network. Substituting Eqs. (5) and (6) into Eq. (7), and separating out real and imaginary parts, we obtain

$$H = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{k_j}{\langle k \rangle} \sqrt{1 - f_j^2} \Theta(1 - |f_j|), \qquad (8)$$

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\omega_j - \Omega) [1 - \sqrt{1 - f_j^{-2}} \Theta(|f_j| - 1)], \qquad (9)$$

where $f_j = (\omega_j - \Omega)/(k_j H J)$, and $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function, which takes the value 1 for $x \ge 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Equations (8) and (9) are our mean-field equations for a given network of *N* oscillators with a particular set of intrinsic frequencies $\{\omega_j\}$. They are invariant under a uniform shift of all oscillator frequencies (with a corresponding change in Ω).

At any value of J, Eqs. (8) and (9) admit a trivial solution H=0 (with arbitrary Ω). In the next section, we shall analyze nontrivial solutions that appear above the entrainment threshold J_c , paying particular attention to sample-to-sample variations when N is finite.

III. SOLUTION OF THE MEAN-FIELD EQUATIONS

From Eqs. (8) and (9) it is obvious that the solution for H depends not only on the coupling strength J but also on the particular choice of the intrinsic frequencies $\{\omega_j\}$ and the particular network topology in a given sample. For sufficiently large N, it is possible to give a statistical description of the sample-to-sample fluctuations. As we show below, the analysis also yields the finite-size scaling of critical properties in the neighborhood of the entrainment transition. With the unimodality and the symmetry of $g(\omega)$ about $\omega=0$, we shall seek a solution at $\Omega=0$ and ignore weak finite-size corrections which do not alter our main conclusions.

A. Self-averaging in the infinite-size limit

To proceed, let us write Eq. (8) in a symbolic form,

$$H = \bar{\Psi}(H), \tag{10}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(H) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{k_j}{\langle k \rangle} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\omega_j}{k_j H J}\right)^2} \Theta\left(1 - \frac{|\omega_j|}{k_j H J}\right). \quad (11)$$

Terms in the sum can be grouped according to their degree k_j . When the network size $N \rightarrow \infty$, the number of terms in each group at a given k grows linearly with N, and hence self-averaging over the distribution $g(\omega)$ is expected. This consideration leads to the result

$$\Psi(H) \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \tilde{\Psi}(H) = \langle \tilde{\Psi}(H) \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k} P(k) k u(kHJ).$$
(12)

Here P(k) is the degree distribution of vertices on the network, and

$$u(x) = \int_{-x}^{x} d\omega \ g(\omega) \sqrt{1 - \omega^2 / x^2}$$
(13)

is a monotonically increasing function of x which approaches 1 as $x \to \infty$. For small x, $u(x) \simeq (\pi/2)g(0)x + (\pi/16)g''(0)x^3$.

We now consider the behavior of $\Psi(H)$ at small *H*, assuming $\langle k^2 \rangle = \sum_k k^2 P(k)$ to be finite [i.e., P(k) falls off faster than k^{-3} at large *k*]. To facilitate the analysis, we introduce the function

$$\hat{u}(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}g(0)x - u(x),$$
(14)

which grows as x^3 for small x. Substituting Eq. (14) into Eq. (12), we obtain

$$\Psi(H) = \frac{\pi \langle k^2 \rangle}{2 \langle k \rangle} g(0) JH - \hat{\Psi}(H), \qquad (15)$$

where

$$\hat{\Psi}(H) = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k} P(k) k \hat{u}(kHJ).$$
(16)

The functional form of $\hat{\Psi}(H)$ at small *H* depends on the tail of the degree distribution P(k). If P(k) falls faster than k^{-5} at large *k*, we may use the small-*x* expansion of $\hat{u}(x)$ to obtain

$$\hat{\Psi}(H) \simeq c_0 \frac{\langle k^4 \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} (JH)^3, \tag{17}$$

where $c_0 = -(\pi/16)g''(0)$ is a positive constant. On the other hand, if $P(k) \approx Ak^{-\gamma}$ at large k with $3 < \gamma < 5$, $\langle k^4 \rangle$ diverges and the above expansion becomes invalid. Instead, contributions to the sum in Eq. (16) come mainly from vertices with $k \sim (JH)^{-1}$. Since the fraction of vertices in this degree range is proportional to $k^{-\gamma+1}$, and each contributes an amount k to the sum, we estimate $\hat{\Psi}(H) \sim (JH)^{\gamma-2}$ in this case. More precisely, replacing the sum over k by an integral, we obtain

$$\hat{\Psi}(H) \simeq \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \int_0^\infty dk \ P(k) k \hat{u}(kHJ) \simeq \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \int_0^\infty dk \ A k^{-\gamma+1} \hat{u}(kHJ)$$
$$= c_1 (JH)^{\gamma-2}. \tag{18}$$

Here $c_1 = A \langle k \rangle^{-1} \int_0^\infty dx \ x^{-\gamma+1} \hat{u}(x)$ is another positive constant.

B. Sample-to-sample variations in a finite network

To work out the statistics of the sample-to-sample fluctuation $\delta \tilde{\Psi}(H) \equiv \tilde{\Psi}(H) - \Psi(H)$, we note that $\tilde{\Psi}(H)$ can be viewed as the mean value of the random variable

$$\eta(\omega,k) = \frac{k}{\langle k \rangle} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{kHJ}\right)^2} \Theta\left(1 - \frac{|\omega|}{kHJ}\right)$$
(19)

over N realizations. From the central limit theorem, we expect $\delta \tilde{\Psi}(H)$ to satisfy the Gaussian distribution with zero mean and a variance given by

$$\langle (\delta \tilde{\Psi})^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N} (\langle \eta^2 \rangle - \langle \eta \rangle^2) \equiv \frac{D(H)}{N}.$$
 (20)

As $\langle \eta \rangle = \Psi(H) \sim H$ at small H, we only need to focus on

$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle = \sum_k P(k) \frac{k^2}{\langle k \rangle^2} \int_{-kHJ}^{kHJ} d\omega \ g(\omega) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{kHJ}\right)^2 \right]$$
(21)

close to the transition. If P(k) falls faster than k^{-4} at large k, the above expression can be easily evaluated at small H to give

$$D(H) \simeq \langle \eta^2 \rangle \simeq \frac{4\langle k^3 \rangle}{3\langle k \rangle^2} g(0) JH.$$
 (22)

On the other hand, if $P(k) \approx Ak^{-\gamma}$ with $3 < \gamma < 4$, we may replace the sum over *k* by an integral, which yields

$$D(H) \simeq d_1 (JH)^{\gamma - 3}, \tag{23}$$

where $d_1 = A \langle k \rangle^{-2} \int_0^\infty du \ u^{2-\gamma} \int_{-u}^u d\omega \ g(\omega) (1 - \omega^2 / u^2)$ is another positive constant.

Summarizing the above results, in the neighborhood of the entrainment transition, we may write the self-consistent equation (10) for *H* in the form

$$H = (J/J_c)H - c(JH)^p + d(JH)^{q/2}N^{-1/2}\xi,$$
(24)

where *c* and *d* are positive constants, and $J_c = 2\langle k \rangle / [\pi g(0) \langle k^2 \rangle]$ (mean-field value) is the critical coupling strength at the transition. The term ξ is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and unit variance that represents the combined effect of the particular choice of oscillator frequencies and network connections in a given sample. The exponents p=3 and q=1 when the degree distribution P(k) decays sufficiently fast at large *k*. For power-law distributions $P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$, *p* switches to the value $\gamma-2$ for $\gamma < 5$. Similarly, *q* switches to the value $\gamma-3$ for $\gamma < 4$.

C. Finite-size scaling

The network size *N* enters Eq. (24) through the last term. At $J=J_c$, a positive ξ (representing 50% of the samples) yields a solution $H \sim N^{-1/(2p-q)}$, quite a bit larger than the value $N^{-1/2}$ due to dynamic fluctuations on the detrained side [23]. In addition, one can easily verify that the term ξ affects the solution significantly when *J* is within a distance of order $N^{-(p-1)/(2p-q)}$ from J_c . These properties are summarized in the scaling solution to Eq. (24),

$$H = N^{-\beta/\bar{\nu}} f((J - J_c) N^{1/\bar{\nu}}), \qquad (25)$$

where the exponents $\beta = 1/(p-1)$ and $\overline{\nu} = (2p-q)/(p-1)$. Unlike the usual FSS expression for pure systems, the scaling function f(x) varies from sample to sample.

Using the above values for p and q, we obtain the following results for the critical exponents for uncorrelated scale-free networks:

$$(\beta, \overline{\nu}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{5}{2}, & \gamma > 5, \\ \frac{1}{\gamma - 3}, & \frac{2\gamma - 5}{\gamma - 3}, & 4 < \gamma < 5, \\ \frac{1}{\gamma - 3}, & \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma - 3}, & 3 < \gamma < 4. \end{cases}$$
(26)

In simulation studies, the order parameter

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{e^{i(\phi_j - \theta)}}$$
(27)

is usually measured. From the solution to the mean-field equation (4), we obtain

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\omega_j}{k_j H J}\right)^2} \Theta\left(1 - \frac{|\omega_j|}{k_j H J}\right).$$
(28)

Following the same procedure as above in the calculation of $\Psi(H)$, we obtain at small *H*

$$\Delta \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} g(0) \langle k \rangle JH + d_2 (JH)^{1/2} N^{-1/2} \tilde{\xi}, \qquad (29)$$

where $d_2 = [(4/3)g(0)\langle k \rangle]^{1/2}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ is another Gaussian random variable which represents sample-to-sample variations. It is easy to check that the strength of the last term in Eq. (29) is weaker than, or at most comparable to, its counterpart in Eq. (24). Hence the scaling behavior of Δ is the same as that of *H*.

We note that the expressions for the FSS exponent $\bar{\nu}$ in Eq. (26) differ from those obtained by Lee [15] based on a cluster analysis but without considering sample-to-sample fluctuations. In fact, $\bar{\nu}$ obtained here is always larger than that in [15] for any γ . We thus conclude that the broadening of the entrainment transition in a finite sample by the random distribution of oscillator frequencies (including the effect of coupling through random connections) is more significant than other effects such as those considered in Ref. [15].

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To test the validity of our MF analysis, we have performed extensive numerical simulations on the system governed by Eq. (1). Scale-free networks used in the simulation are generated following Ref. [22], up to a system size N=12800. The intrinsic frequencies of oscillators are drawn independently from the Gaussian distribution $g(\omega) = (2\pi\sigma)^{-1/2} \exp(-\omega^2/2\sigma^2)$ with unit variance $(\sigma^2 = 1)$. Heun's method [24], with a discrete time step $\delta t = 0.01$, is used in the numerical integration. Typically, the motion is followed for $N_t = 4 \times 10^4$ time steps, with the initial condition $\phi_i = 0$ for all j. Data from the first $N_t/2$ steps are discarded in the measurement of time-averaged quantities. For each network size, approximately 10⁴ independent runs are performed, with different realizations of the intrinsic frequencies as well as network connection to obtain sample averages.

To characterize the entrainment transition numerically, we have focused on the order parameter defined by Eq. (27), or, more precisely, $\Delta = \langle \overline{\Delta}_t \rangle$, where $\Delta_t = |(1/N)\Sigma_{j=1}^N \exp(i\phi_j)|$ at time t and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the sample average for a given system size N. The critical coupling strength J_c is estimated from the crossing point in the plot of ΔN^{ϵ} versus J, varying the exponent ϵ . Crossing of the Binder cumulants $B_{\Delta} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \langle \overline{\Delta}_t^4 / (\overline{\Delta}_t^2)^2 \rangle$ for different system sizes is also used to estimate J_c [20]. The transition point can also be identified in terms of the diverging behavior of the dynamic susceptibility $\chi = N \langle \overline{\Delta}_t^2 - (\overline{\Delta}_t)^2 \rangle$.

At the critical point $J=J_c$, the order parameter Δ exhibits a power law: $\Delta \sim N^{-\beta/\bar{\nu}}$. The critical decay of Δ is used in our analysis to further validate the value of J_c and to determine the exponent ratio $\beta/\bar{\nu}$. With this value of $\beta/\bar{\nu}$, we estimate the value of the FSS exponent $\bar{\nu}$ from the scaling plots of $\Delta N^{\beta/\bar{\nu}}$ against $(J-J_c)N^{1/\bar{\nu}}$ for a broad range of system sizes, by adjusting $\bar{\nu}$ to achieve the best data collapse in the critical region.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Critical decay of the order parameter Δ against system size N for $\gamma = 7.07, 4.48, 3.75$, where $J_c = 1.86(2), 1.57(4), 1.17(3)$, respectively. Lines are drawn with slopes of $-\beta/\bar{\nu}$ given by the theoretical prediction Eq. (26).

Figure 1 shows the order parameter Δ at J_c against the system size N on a log-log scale for three different values of the degree exponent γ . The critical values of J are given by $J_c=1.86(2)$, 1.57(4), and 1.17(3) for $\gamma=7.07$, 4.48, and 3.75, respectively. Data points at a given γ fall nicely on a straight line corresponding to the anticipated power-law behavior $\Delta(J_c) \sim N^{-\beta/\bar{\nu}}$, and the slope shows very good agreement with the predicted value for $\beta/\bar{\nu}$ according to Eq. (26).

Figure 2 shows the scaling plots of the order parameter Δ for various system sizes at three different values of γ . In each case, the theoretically predicted values for the exponents as given by Eq. (26) are used to compute the scaled values. The data collapse is nearly perfect for γ =7.07 and 4.48, and satisfactory for γ =3.75.

We have determined the values of $\beta/\bar{\nu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ for a number of other values of γ following the above procedure. Figure 3 presents a summary of our results. Error bars are obtained from uncertainties in the procedure. The two lines correspond to our mean-field predictions. The agreement is generally good.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we investigated the effect of fluctuations in the frequency distribution on the entrainment transition of a system of coupled random frequency oscillators. Selfconsistent equations for the global ordering field are derived for any given oscillator population on a complex network. Statistical properties of the solution to these equations in an ensemble of such networks of oscillators are determined. The analysis enables us to derive a finite-size scaling expression for the entrainment order parameter. Comparison with numerical integration of the dynamical equations on scale-free networks shows that the mean-field description correctly



FIG. 2. (Color online) Scaling plot of Δ for $N=800, 1600, \dots, 12\,800$ with (a) $\gamma=7.07$, using $\beta/\overline{\nu}=1/5$ and $\overline{\nu}=5/2$, (b) $\gamma=4.48$, using $\beta/\overline{\nu}=0.25$ and $\overline{\nu}=2.68$, and (c) $\gamma=3.75$, using $\beta/\overline{\nu}=0.36$ and $\overline{\nu}=3.67$.



FIG. 3. (Color online) $\beta/\bar{\nu}$ (squares) and $1/\bar{\nu}$ (diamonds) are plotted as functions of the degree exponent γ , showing a good consistency with the theoretical prediction represented by the full line $(\beta/\bar{\nu})$ and the dotted one $(1/\bar{\nu})$, respectively.

captures the finite-size scaling behavior exhibited by the time- and sample-averaged order parameter Δ . Values of the exponents β and $\overline{\nu}$ estimated from the numerical data show excellent agreement with the mean-field predictions.

For scale-free networks with a degree exponent $\gamma > 5$, our result for the exponents $\beta = 1/2$ and $\overline{\nu} = 5/2$ is the same as that of the globally coupled Kuramoto model. Randomness in the network connection does not appear to affect these values. On this ground we expect the result to be valid also for randomly connected networks with a bounded degree

such as the Erdös and Rényi network [25] and the smallworld networks of Watts and Strogatz [2]. However, the finite connectivity of oscillators on the network implies that the dynamic fluctuations are not averaged out and can at least renormalize the entrainment threshold J_c given by the mean-field theory. In this regard, analytic derivation of the sample-dependent, self-consistent equation (24) beyond the mean-field approximation would be desirable.

Another interesting aspect of synchronization which has not been treated in the present paper is the formation and stability of entrained nonspanning clusters in the subcritical regime. This problem is particularly relevant to heterogeneous networks with a broad degree distribution. The usual hyperscaling relation relates the exponent γ_{χ} , which describes the divergence of the "susceptibility" $\chi \equiv N \langle \Delta^2 \rangle$ $\sim (J_c - J)^{-\gamma_{\chi}}$, with the exponents β and $\bar{\nu}$: $\gamma_{\chi} = \bar{\nu} - 2\beta$ [26,27]. This relation has been shown to hold for the Kuramoto model on a hypercubic lattice in high dimensions (d > 4) but not in the globally coupled case [19]. It would be interesting to examine the behavior of χ on scale-free networks with or without degree correlation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD) (Grant No. KRF-2006-331-C00123) and by the Research Grants Council of the HKSAR through Grant No. 202107.

- For a recent review, see S. N. Dorogovtsev, A. V. Goltsev, and J. F. F. Mendes, e-print arXiv:0705.0010, and references therein.
- [2] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, Nature (London) **393**, 440 (1998); D. J. Watts, *Small Worlds* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999).
- [3] S. N. Dorogovtsev, A. V. Goltsev, and J. F. F. Mendes, Phys. Rev. E 66, 016104 (2002); Eur. Phys. J. B 38, 177 (2004); A. V. Goltsev, S. N. Dorogovtsev, and J. F. F. Mendes, Phys. Rev. E 67, 026123 (2003).
- [4] H. Hong, M. Ha, and H. Park, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 258701 (2007).
- [5] F. Iglói and L. Turban, Phys. Rev. E 66, 036140 (2002).
- [6] M. Leone, A. Vázquez, A. Vespignani, and R. Zecchina, Eur. Phys. J. B 28, 191 (2002).
- [7] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4626 (2000).
- [8] R. Pastor-Satorras and A. Vespignani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3200 (2001); Phys. Rev. E 63, 066117 (2001); M. Karsai, R. Juhasz, and F. Iglói, *ibid.* 73, 036116 (2006).
- [9] M. Barahona and L. M. Pecora, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 054101 (2002); M. Timme, F. Wolf, and T. Geisel, *ibid.* 89, 258701 (2002); T. Nishikawa, A.E. Motter, Y.-C. Lai, and F.C. Hoppensteadt, *ibid.* 91, 014101 (2003); H. Hong, B. J. Kim, M. Y. Choi, and H. Park, Phys. Rev. E 69, 067105 (2004).
- [10] A. T. Winfree, *The Geometry of Biological Time* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980); J. Theor. Biol. 16, 15 (1967).

- [11] Y. Kuramoto, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics, edited by H. Araki (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975); Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984); Y. Kuamoto and I. Nishikawa, J. Stat. Phys. 49, 569 (1987).
- [12] A. S. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, and J. Kurths, *Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Science* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2001).
- [13] I. Z. Kiss, Y. M. Zhai, and J. L. Hudson, Science 296, 1676 (2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 248301 (2005).
- [14] T. Ichinomiya, Phys. Rev. E 70, 026116 (2004).
- [15] D.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. E 72, 026208 (2005); E. Oh, D.-S. Lee,
 B. Kahng, and D. Kim, *ibid.* 75, 011104 (2007).
- [16] J. G. Restrepo, E. Ott, and B. R. Hunt, Phys. Rev. E 71, 036151 (2005).
- [17] S. H. Strogatz, Physica D 143, 1 (2000).
- [18] For a recent review, see J. A. Acebrón, L. L. Bonilla, C. J. P. Vicente, and F. Ritort, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 137 (2005), and references therein.
- [19] H. Hong, H. Chaté, H. Park, and L.-H. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 184101 (2007).
- [20] H. Hong, H. Park, and L.-H. Tang, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 49, L1885 (2006).
- [21] M. Catanzaro, M. Boguñá, and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E 71, 027103 (2005).
- [22] K.-I. Goh, B. Kahng, and D. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 278701

(2001).

- [23] H. Daido, Prog. Theor. Phys. 75, 1460 (1986); 77, 622 (1987).
- [24] See, e.g., R. L. Burden and J. D. Faires, *Numerical Analysis* (Brooks-Cole, Pacific Grove, CA, 1997), p. 280.
- [25] P. Erdös and A. Rényi, Publ. Math. (Debrecen) 6, 290 (1959).
- [26] L. P. Kadanoff, Physics (Long Island City, N.Y.) **2**, 263 (1966).
- [27] M. E. Fisher, Rep. Prog. Phys. 30, 615 (1967).